PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF SEPTEMBER 14, 2021

~______

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Discussion re exhibits	1
Robert Boyle (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Rajotte Examination by Mr. Stephens Discussion re scheduling	10 18 19
	Proceedings adjourned at 9:51 a.m. to October 15, 2021	20

INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION		
Letter	Description	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
No.	Description	Page
1039	Case Study Overview Report - May 14, 2021	3
1040	Affidavit no. 2 of Bill Lang affirmed May 21, 2021	4
1041	Affidavit no. 3 of Adam Ross affirmed May 19, 2021	4
1042	Affidavit no. 1 of William McCrea sworn August 16, 2021	4
1043	Affidavit no. 1 of Joe Schalk sworn August 23, 2021	4
1044	Affidavit no. 1 of Terri Van Sleuwen sworn August 23, 2021	4
1045	Affidavit no. 3 of Cathy Cuglietta – August 31, 2021	5
1046	Overview Report – New Developments & Miscellaneous Documents	6

1047	Overview Report – Gateway Casinos & Entertainment Inc. and	
	Gateway Casinos & Entertainment Limited	6
1048	Affidavit of Diana Bennett sworn August 31, 2021	8
1049	Affidavit no. 1 of Sandy Austin sworn September 9, 2021	8
1050	Affidavit of Michael Scott sworn September 13, 2021	8
1051	Affidavit of Blair Morrison sworn September 13, 2021	8
1052	(Previously marked as exhibit K for identification) Overview Report – Paul Jin Debt Enforcement Against BC Real Estate - May 13, 2021	9
1053	Hunter Litigation Memo to B. Boyle re HLC Draft Questions for EY re AML Practices – Point in Time Review - February 19, 2021	10
1054	Consists of two documents: 1. Email re 100% known Play_ BCLC - March 11, 2021 2. AML Practices - Point in Time	14
1055	Email re SOW - Appendix A - April 19, 2021	18

1	September 14, 2021
2	(Via Videoconference)
3	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.)
4	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now
5	resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Madam Registrar.
7	Mr. Martland, I gather you have some
8	exhibits to tender.
9	MR. MARTLAND: Yes, Mr. Commissioner, I do. And it's
10	really in the category of housekeeping, and I
11	apologize for Mr. Boyle and counsel for
12	interrupting, but we thought it was sensible to
13	simply deal with this right at the outset, and
14	of course if there were to be any curve ball
15	that comes in my direction, it gives me some
16	chance to deal with that.
17	Mr. Commissioner, there's a final collection
18	of documents which commission counsel are now
19	asking to have marked as exhibits in the
20	hearings. Our hope is that that's the last
21	group of documents in this category. It could
22	prove to be that there is a further document or
23	affidavit or whatnot that we need to deal with,
24	but we would look to deal with that in writing
25	and without convening a further hearing simply

1 for that purpose if we can do that.

2 There's a list of documents that, Madam 3 Registrar, if you're able to display the list of 4 documents. Participants have this list as well. 5 And I don't propose to bring up each and every one of the documents that is listed here and 6 refer to them, but what's being proposed, 7 Mr. Commissioner, is that we would really work 8 9 through this list and have each of these documents marked as an exhibit one by one. As I 10 11 say, I don't propose to mark or to rather bring 12 up each of those documents. All of these are 13 documents that have been circulated to 14 participants. Counsel and participants whose 15 grant of standing pertains to the subject matter 16 have had the opportunity to raise concerns or 17 address any issues. I don't understand there to 18 be further impediments to marking materials now. 19 The one caveat to that is that there may be a 20 few instances where there's the possibility of 21 redactions before the document is posted on our 22 website, and there's the possibility in one instance of a claim of privilege being made over 23 24 one -- one part of an overview report. So what 25 I'm going to be proposing that is first for all

1 of these there be a direction that they're 2 not -- I think consistent with our practice, frankly, they won't be posted until there's an 3 4 opportunity for redactions to be made and then 5 in the case of the one document I may be asking it be marked subject to the possibility of a 6 7 privilege claim being made. I expect that's something counsel can resolve between ourselves. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I'll make those 9 directions, then, Mr. Martland. 10 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. And what I'll do here as I 11 12 go through these -- as I say, I haven't understood there to be any objections or 13 14 concerns, but there's always the possibility I 15 haven't heard of something, so if they were to 16 be, I'd invite any participant to alert us if 17 there's an issue that arises. Number 1 that 18 appears on the list, it's a case study overview 19 report, and I'm proposing -- and Madam Registrar 20 can confirm my math is right, but I have that 21 was proposed exhibit 1039. 22 THE REGISTRAR: Yes. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 1039. 24 EXHIBIT 1039: Case Study Overview Report -25 May 14, 2021

1	MR. MARTLAND: Number 2, the Lang affidavit would
2	become exhibit 1040.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.
4	EXHIBIT 1040: Affidavit no. 2 of Bill Lang
5	affirmed May 21, 2021
6	MR. MARTLAND: Number 3, the Ross affidavit would be
7	exhibit 1041.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	EXHIBIT 1041: Affidavit no. 3 of Adam Ross
10	affirmed May 19, 2021
11	MR. MARTLAND: Number 4, McCrea affidavit 1042.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
13	EXHIBIT 1042: Affidavit no. 1 of William McCrea
14	sworn August 16, 2021
15	MR. MARTLAND: Number 5, the Schalk affidavit would
16	be exhibit 1043.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.
18	EXHIBIT 1043: Affidavit no. 1 of Joe Schalk
19	sworn August 23, 2021
20	MR. MARTLAND: Number 6, the Van Sleuwen affidavit,
21	exhibit 1044.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: 1044.
23	EXHIBIT 1044: Affidavit no. 1 of Terri Van
24	Sleuwen sworn August 23, 2021

1	affidavit, exhibit 1045, please.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.
3	EXHIBIT 1045: Affidavit no. 3 of Cathy
4	Cuglietta - August 31, 2021
5	MR. MARTLAND: Exhibit 8 is an overview report that
6	is entitled "New Developments and Miscellaneous
7	Documents." It appends a number of documents
8	that have come into view as being pertinent to
9	the mandate and being sought to be put before
10	you in that format. This is an overview report
11	where there's some possibility it may be a
12	non-issue, but there's a possibility of an
13	assertion of privilege in relation to one
14	appended document to it, so for this document
15	I'll be proposing that the overview report, as I
16	say, it would be under the direction that it's
17	not to be posted, and then secondly I'd ask that
18	it would be admitted subject to any redactions
19	for privilege, in particular pertaining to one
20	of the appended document which is I think
21	it's appended as document Roman numeral I.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: But you're satisfied it would be
23	marked as an exhibit proper?
24	MR. MARTLAND: I am. And in fact I suspect I'm
25	trying to do this in real time through messages.

1	I think the redaction or privilege issue may
2	prove to be a non-issue. I'm confident counsel
3	can sort that out as between ourselves and
4	counsel for Canada, so if it's marked on that
5	footing, I expect it's simply a matter of us
6	confirming that there is or isn't a privilege or
7	need to redact for privilege and then once
8	redactions are made if they're needed, that's
9	the exhibit.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	MR. MARTLAND: So that would become exhibit 1046,
12	please, the overview report.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	EXHIBIT 1046: Overview Report - New
14 15	EXHIBIT 1046: Overview Report - New Developments & Miscellaneous Documents
	_
15	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents
15 16	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then,
15 16 17	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report
15 16 17 18	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report relating to Gateway Casinos Incorporated as
15 16 17 18 19	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report relating to Gateway Casinos Incorporated as opposed to Gateway Casinos Limited. That is
15 16 17 18 19 20	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report relating to Gateway Casinos Incorporated as opposed to Gateway Casinos Limited. That is proposed to be the exhibit 1047.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report relating to Gateway Casinos Incorporated as opposed to Gateway Casinos Limited. That is proposed to be the exhibit 1047. THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Developments & Miscellaneous Documents MR. MARTLAND: And I should continue on, then, number 9 on the list is an overview report relating to Gateway Casinos Incorporated as opposed to Gateway Casinos Limited. That is proposed to be the exhibit 1047. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. EXHIBIT 1047: Overview Report - Gateway Casinos

25

1 affidavit, I should point out in relation to this affidavit, the exhibits to the affidavit 2 3 contain sensitive information related to the 4 operation of casinos in British Columbia. Some 5 of that information was previously redacted in the public-facing version of exhibit 76, which 6 7 is an overview report bearing the title of "BCLC 8 Standard Policies, Procedures and Operational 9 Services." We're seeking the direction the 10 information redacted from the public-facing version of that affidavit also be redacted from 11 12 the public-facing version of this new affidavit 13 or exhibit, rather -- I'm sorry, the 14 public-facing version of the previous exhibit, 15 the same information would be redacted from the 16 public-facing version of this new exhibit. 17 Commission counsel and counsel for BCLC have 18 already agreed upon appropriate redactions and 19 have prepared a redacted version of the exhibit. 20 So in this case it may be that we need not await 21 redactions, but I just wanted to place on record 22 that that was occurring for this affidavit. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 24 MR. MARTLAND: Having read the script that was given

to me, the number would be exhibit 1048 for

1	number 10.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: 1048.
3	EXHIBIT 1048: Affidavit of Diana Bennett sworn
4	August 31, 2021
5	MR. MARTLAND: Next the Austin affidavit would be
6	exhibit 1049.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8	EXHIBIT 1049: Affidavit no. 1 of Sandy Austin
9	sworn September 9, 2021
10	MR. MARTLAND: The Scott affidavit would be
11	exhibit 1050.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.
13	EXHIBIT 1050: Affidavit of Michael Scott sworn
14	September 13, 2021
15	MR. MARTLAND: The Morrison affidavit, exhibit 1051.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
17	EXHIBIT 1051: Affidavit of Blair Morrison sworn
18	September 13, 2021
19	MR. MARTLAND: And finally there's an overview
20	report, number 14 on the list is exhibit K for
21	identification. It's entitled "Paul Jin Debt
22	Enforcement Against BC Real Estate." That
23	overview report was marked as exhibit A for
24	identification at the hearing on May, I think,
25	14th, but in mid-May at the conclusion of that

1 block of evidentiary hearings it was marked as 2 an exhibit for identification because one 3 participant had alerted us to his intended 4 application, that's Mr. Jin. He then brought 5 that application under rule 60. That led, Mr. Commissioner, to your ruling issued in 6 August, ruling 36, which determined that the 7 overview report could be admitted as an exhibit 8 9 proper. And so with that explanation, I'm asking that number 14 would become exhibit 1052. 10 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. 12 EXHIBIT 1052: (Previously marked as exhibit K 13 for identification) Overview Report - Paul Jin 14 Debt Enforcement Against BC Real Estate -15 May 13, 2021 MR. MARTLAND: And that concludes the review of 16 17 proposed new exhibits. Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. All right. We will 18 19 resume with Mr. Boyle, then, and I think, 20 Ms. Rajotte, you are up for the province and 21 have been allocated 45 minutes. 22 MS. RAJOTTE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 23 ROBERT BOYLE, a witness 24 for the commission, 25 recalled.

Robert Boyle (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Rajotte

1 EXAMINATION BY MS. RAJOTTE: 2 Mr. Boyle, can you hear me okay? 0 3 А Yes, I can. 4 MS. RAJOTTE: Madam Registrar, could we please pull 5 up document 00003670. Mr. Boyle, you received this memo from BCLC's 6 Ο 7 lawyers dated February 19, 2021; correct? 8 А Correct. 9 And these are draft questions that were provided Q 10 to you by BCLC's lawyers for your AML practices 11 report? 12 А Correct. 13 MR. RAJOTTE: Mr. Commissioner, could I please mark 14 this document as the next exhibit. 15 THE COURT: Very well. 1053. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1053. 16 17 EXHIBIT 1053: Hunter Litigation Memo to 18 B. Boyle re HLC Draft Questions for EY re AML 19 Practices - Point in Time Review - February 19, 20 2021 21 MR. RAJOTTE: Madam Registrar, I'm done with that 22 document, and if we could please pull up two 23 different documents. They're numbered 24 document 00003527 and 3528. 25 Looking at 3527 first, Mr. Boyle, you'll see Q

1		that this is an email dated March 11, 2021, from
2		your colleague at Ernst & Young to BCLC's
3		lawyers; correct?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	And you were on this email exchange?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And then if we could turn to document 3528,
8		which is the attachment to the email exchange.
9		This is a table with Ernst & Young's proposed
10		revisions to BCLC's lawyers' draft questions for
11		your AML practices report; correct?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And if we look at the table, the column that is
14		titled "Original Wording" is the wording
15		proposed by BCLC's lawyers?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And are revised wording are the revisions
18		proposed by you and your team?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	You narrowed the jurisdictions considered by
21		your report; correct?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Originally the questions proposed pertained to
24		"any gaming jurisdiction," which was globally;
25		correct?

1	A	During our initial scoping conversations with
2		counsel we discussed the gaming jurisdictions
3		that would be applicable based on my
4		professional experience, and based on that
5		conversation we believed it made sense to
6		reference that in the wording of the questions
7		to make that explicit.
8	Q	And the questions as originally proposed by BCLC
9		were any gaming jurisdictions globally; correct?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	And you propose narrowing this to Canada, United
12		States, the European Union and Macao; correct?
13	A	If those are the gaming jurisdictions that were
14		listed in the report under the footnote that was
15		referenced, I believe New Zealand and Australia
16		were referenced in there as well, but the
17		listing in the report are the gaming
18		jurisdictions that fall under that definition.
19	MR.	RAJOTTE: Thank you. And, Madam Registrar, if we
20		could just scroll up the page a bit sorry, up
21		to the top of the first page.
22	Q	Mr. Boyle, this is what I'm referring to. See
23		in this original proposed revision to the
24		questions, gaming jurisdiction at the top is
25		defined as Canada, United States, the European

1		Union and Macao; correct?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	And these are jurisdictions in which you have
4		relevant industry experience; is that right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And as you stated earlier, your report only
7		addresses these narrow jurisdictions plus
8		Australia and New Zealand which were added at
9		some point after this exchange; correct?
10	A	Correct. As part of our scoping conversation.
11	Q	And you also narrowed the applicable time
12		period?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	Originally there was no time period specified?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	And you narrowed the time period under
17		consideration to January 1st, 2014, to
18		December 31, 2020?
19	А	Correct.
20	Q	And the questions that BCLC asked you to opine
21		on went through multiple revisions before your
22		instructions were eventually finalized?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	BCLC's final instruction letter was provided to

25 you on April 27th, 2021?

Robert Boyle (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Rajotte

1 A I believe that to be correct.

- 2 Q And this is after you provide BCLC with multiple 3 drafts of your report?
- A I'd have to see how many reports we sent,
 whether it was one -- or we provided draft
 reports, correct.
- 7 MR. RAJOTTE: Mr. Commissioner, if I could please
 8 have those two documents, the email and
 9 attachment, I think it make senses to mark them

10 collectively as the next exhibit.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. 1054.

12 THE REGISTRAR: 1054.

13EXHIBIT 1054: Consists of two documents: 1.14Email re 100% known Play_ BCLC - March 11, 2021152. AML Practices - Point in Time

16 MR. RAJOTTE: Thank you, Madam Registrar. I'm done 17 with those documents.

Q Mr. Boyle, you testified yesterday that in your
report when you addressed operator practices,
this information is based on your personal
experience working in the industry; correct?
A Correct.

Q And you do not have direct experience with
casino operators in the United Kingdom,
Australia or New Zealand; correct?

1 A That's correct.

2	Q	And these countries are included in the
3		definition of gaming jurisdictions in your
4		report?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And so in your report when you speak about your
7		experience of operator practices in the gaming
8		jurisdictions, these comments do not apply to
9		the United Kingdom, Australia or New Zealand;

10 correct?

11 A Correct. Just the regulatory references.

12 Q And the European Union is included in the 13 definition of "gaming jurisdictions" in your 14 report; correct?

15 A Correct.

16 Q And you are not purporting to have direct 17 experience of casino operator AML practices and 18 procedures in all countries in the European 19 Union, are you?

20 A No, not all countries in the European Union.

Q Yesterday you testified that your direct
experience in Europe was in Cypress and Sweden;
is that right?

A That's correct.

25 MR. RAJOTTE: Madam Registrar, if we could please

```
Robert Boyle (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rajotte
```

1 pull up document 00003682.

2	Q	Mr. Stephens took you to this email exchange
3		yesterday, Mr. Boyle, to refresh your memory
4		about your draft report being shared with some
5		of the participants in the inquiry. Do you
6		recall that?
7	A	Yes, I do.
8	Q	I have a few further questions about this
9		exchange. If we could
10	MS.	RAJOTTE: Madam Registrar, if we could scroll
11		down to the first email in the exchange. I
12		believe it's on the second page. Sorry, if you
13		can just scroll up slightly to catch the top of
14		that email. Thank you.
15	Q	Mr. Boyle, this first email dated April 15th,
16		2021, was sent from BCLC's lawyers to Ernst &
17		Young, and in this exchange BCLC's lawyers asked
18		for Ernst & Young to allow BCLC to share draft
19		versions of your AML practices report and your
20		"Known Play" report with Jim Lightbody and
21		Robert Kroeker under a common interest with
22		BCLC; correct?
23	A	Correct.
24	MR.	RAJOTTE: Madam Registrar, if we could scroll up
25		slightly, please. Thank you.

1	Q	And there's a second email from BCLC's lawyers
2		on April 16, 2021, where they ask for Ernst $\&$
3		Young to also allow BCLC to share draft versions
4		of your AML practices report and your "Known
5		Play" report with Brad Desmarais under a common
6		interest with BCLC; correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And Ernst & Young provided letters to BCLC to
9		permit the draft reports to be shared with
10		Mr. Lightbody, Mr. Kroeker and Mr. Desmarais in
11		their common interest with BCLC as requested?
12	A	Correct, from my understanding of what our local
13		team provided.
14	Q	And you will agree with me that BCLC never asked
15		for your permission to share your draft reports
16		with any of the other participants in this
17		inquiry; correct?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	BCLC never asked for your permission to share
20		your draft reports with the Gaming Policy and
21		Enforcement Branch; correct?
22	А	Correct.
23	MR.	RAJOTTE: Mr. Commissioner, could we please mark
24		this document as the next exhibit.
25	THE	COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be 1055.

Robert Boyle (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Stephens

1 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 1055. EXHIBIT 1055: Email re SOW - Appendix A -2 3 April 19, 2021 4 MR. RAJOTTE: Thank you, Mr. Boyle. Those are my 5 questions. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, 8 Ms. Rajotte. 9 Mr. McFee, do you have anything arising from the examination thus far? 10 11 MR. McFEE: Nothing arising. Thank you, 12 Mr. Commissioner. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms. Peddle? 14 MS. PEDDLE: Nothing arising. Thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Bevan? 15 16 MS. GAILY: It's actually Ms. Gaily. Ms. Bevan had to step away. Nothing further. 17 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Stephens? 19 MR. STEPHENS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. STEPHENS: 21 Q Mr. Boyle, can you hear me? 22 А Yes, I can. 23 0 Ms. Rajotte asked you some questions about the 24 sharing of your draft reports with counsel for 25 Mr. Lightbody, counsel for Mr. Desmarais and

Robert Boyle (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Stephens Discussion re scheduling

1 counsel for Mr. Kroeker. Mr. Boyle, did you 2 ever speak or communicate with any of counsel 3 for Mr. Desmarais, Mr. Kroeker or Lightbody? 4 A I did not. 5 MR. STEPHENS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Those 6 are my questions. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. McGowan? 8 MR. McGOWAN: No, thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 9 Nothing further. THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Mr. Boyle, 10 11 I'd like to thank you for taking the time to 12 share your evidence and expertise with us over 13 the course of the last several days. It's much 14 appreciated. You are now excused from further 15 testimony. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Appreciate it. 16 17 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 18 THE COMMISSIONER: And, Mr. McGowan, I gather we are 19 in a position where we will adjourn now until 20 the 15th of October for submissions. Is that 21 correct? 22 MR. McGOWAN: Yes. To October 15th for the 23 commencement of closing addresses. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. And 25 subject of course to any other issues that may

Discussion re scheduling

1 arise in the interim requiring some further --2 us to hear some further evidence, but that is 3 the next scheduled date at this point. MR. McGOWAN: Yes, that's correct, Mr. Commissioner. 4 5 To the extent additional matters arise, we will attempt to deal with them in writing and if 6 7 necessary we'll convene further proceedings. But the next scheduled date is October 15th, 8 9 9:30 a.m. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. And I would like to 10 11 thank all counsel, including commission counsel 12 and counsel for the participants at this stage 13 for their assistance thus far in the hearings. 14 I will have more to say about that at the 15 conclusion, but we will adjourn now until the 16 15th at 9:30 a.m. Thank you. 17 THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned until October 15th, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. Thank you. 18 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 9:51 A.M. TO OCTOBER 15, 2021) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25